## Annex D: The Pubs Code and Pubs Code Adjudicator: Part 1 - response form

The Code of Practice on Access to Government Information provides that the Department may make available, on public request, individual responses.

Following the close of the consultation period, the Government intends to publish all of the responses received, unless specifically notified otherwise.

This closing date for this consultation is 14 December 2015.

Please return your completed form to:

The Pubs Code and Adjudicator Team

Department for Business, Innovation and Skills

2nd floor, Orchard 2

1 Victoria Street

London SW1H 0ET

Email: [pubs.consultation@bis.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:pubs.consultation@bis.gsi.gov.uk)

The Pubs Code and Pubs Code Adjudicator: Part 1 - response form

Name:

Organisation (if applicable):

Address:

Email:

Please tick the box below which best describes you as a respondent to this consultation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Pub-owning business with 500 or more tied pubs |
|  | Tied tenant |
|  | Interest group, trade body or other organisation |
|  | Other (please describe) |

Please be aware that the Government intends to publish all responses to this consultation.

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be subject to publication or release to other parties or to disclosure in accordance with the access to information regimes. Please see page 7 of the consultation document for further information.

If you want information, including personal data, that you provide to be treated as confidential, please explain to us below why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information, we shall take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

I want my response to be treated as confidential

### Rent assessments

Question 1

**Do you have views on the proposed definition of a rent assessment?**

**Comments:**

### Market Rent Only option

Question 2

**Are there any other circumstances where a renewal would arise and which should trigger MRO beyond those we have set out?**

**Comments:**

Question 3

Question 3

**Is the wholesale market price for beer the appropriate baseline for determining a significant price increase?**

**Comments:**

Question 4

**Is a five percentage point threshold above any increase in the wholesale price of beer (which will reflect any increases in inflation, taxation and other input costs), the appropriate measure?**

**Comments:**

Question 5

**Do you agree that the calculation of a significant increase in price for tied products and services other than beer should exclude any increase in the wholesale price that results from rises in tax, duty, regulatory compliance costs or inflation (RPI)? Are there any other factors that should be excluded?**

**Comments:**

Question 6

**Is this the appropriate way to measure a significant price increase for tied products and services other than beer? If not, please explain the alternative you would recommend.**

**Comments:**

Question 7

**Is a two tier approach appropriate? If so, is the proposed threshold of contributing to 20 percent of the pub’s turnover the right one?**

**Comments:**

Question 8

**Are the proposed percentage increases in price (30 percent and 40 percent) appropriate? If not, please explain your reasoning and an alternative.**

**Comments:**

Question 9

**Do you agree that a significant price increase should be calculated by reference to the price paid by the tenant at a previous point in time? If so, should that be six months ago?**

**Comments:**

Question 10

**Do you have any comments on points i. to v. (significant impact trigger events) in Chapter 8?**

**Comments:**

Question 11

**Can you suggest any other circumstances that would be likely to have a ‘significant impact’ on the expected business of a pub; and that you believe would not be covered by the proposed definition in the Code?**

**Comments:**

### MRO-compliant agreements

Question 12

**Do you agree with the distinction drawn between an MRO compliant agreement that arises from a request for MRO at renewal and an MRO compliant agreement that arises from a request for MRO during the course of the tenancy?**

**Comments:**

Question 13

**Do you support the requirement that an MRO-compliant agreement should provide for an open market rent review every five years? Please explain the effect of such a requirement on the commercial relationship between the tenant and the pub owning business in an MRO agreement.**

**Comments:**

### MRO procedure

Question 14

**Does the list of required documents set out in paragraph 10.23 provide the independent assessor with all the appropriate information to make an independent assessment of the MRO rental figure? Should any other documents be added?**

**Comments:**

Question 15

**Do you have any comments on the timescales for the MRO procedure proposed for the Code?**

**Comments:**

Question 16

**Do you have any views on the proposed circumstances in which the MRO procedure will come to an end?**

**Comments:**

### MRO Disputes

Question 17

**Do you have any concerns about these proposals for the resolution by the Adjudicator of disputes related to the MRO procedure? If so, please explain your concerns.**

**Comments:**

### Waiver from MRO in return for significant investment

Question 18

**How do you believe the “amount” of investment for the purposes of “qualifying investment” should be defined? Please explain your view by reference to the type of rent payment and percentage which should be used, with evidence to support your response.**

**Comments:**

Question 19

**Do you agree with the proposed definition of “qualifying investment” in terms of the “type” of investment? If not, please explain why not, and suggest an alternative definition, with evidence to support your response.**

**Comments:**

Question 20

**What do you consider should be the maximum length of the waiver period (a) 7 years; (b) 10 years; or (c) another option? Please provide an explanation for your answer and any evidence to support your case.**

**Comments:**

Question 21

**Do you agree with the safeguards proposed by the Government and the role proposed for the Adjudicator? Are there other safeguards that you consider should be provided? If so, what and why?**

**Comments:**

Question 22

**Do you believe that there are any unintended or undesirable consequences of the proposed definition of “qualifying investment” or of other conditions referred to in this chapter on the MRO investment waiver?**

**Comments:**

### Do you have any other comments that might aid the consultation process as a whole?

Please use this space for any general comments that you may have. Comments on the layout of this consultation would also be welcomed.

**Comments:**

Please use this space to explain why you consider the information you have provided to be confidential.

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box below.

Please acknowledge this reply

At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be okay if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?

Yes No

**BIS/15/522/RF**